

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/825,116   | 04/03/2001  | Hidefumi Yoshida     | 1324.65392              | 1703             |  |
| 7590 06/16/2005  |             |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. 300 South Wacker Dr., Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60606 |             |                      | ERDEM                   | ERDEM, FAZLI     |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 2826                    |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - |
|---|
| 4 |
| , |

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment  | 09/825,116  | YOSHIDA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fazli Erdem   | 2826   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma  | arch 2005.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | <del>'</del>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44 and 54-78</u> is/are pending in the a   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44 and 54-78</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | 4a) Of the above daim(a) is (are withdrawn from consideration   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-44 and 54-78</u> is/are allowed.   |   | ckullenton   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.  |   | Minhloan Tran  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   | Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | Art Offic 2020   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | e Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>ity documents have been receiv<br>i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 5-44 and 54-78 allowed.
- 2. Claim 4 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (5,940,155) in view of Clerc et al. (4,813,770) further in view of Anderson et al. (6,061,108)

Regarding Claims 1-3, Yang et al. disclose liquid crystal displays containing tilted optical axis compensation films having a negative birefringence where in Figs. 1 and 7, an optical compensation lamination arrangement using tilted optical axis compensation films 76 and 72 with negative birefringence to achieve a better viewing angle characteristics is shown. Yang et al. fail to disclose the required tilts of the individual layers being in opposite directions and the required lamination structure. However, Clerc et al. disclose a cell with a double liquid crystal

layer using the electrically controlled birefringence effect and process for producing a uniaxial medium with negative optical anisotropy usable in the cell where in column 11 lines 65-68 and column 12 lines 1-5 the required oppositely directed tilt directions of the individual layers are disclosed. Furthermore, Anderson et al. disclose a broadband cholesteric polarizer in an optical device employing the same where in column 9, the required lamination structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required opposite tilt directions and the required lamination structure in Yang et al. as taught by Clerc et al. and Anderson et al. respectively, in order to have a liquid crystal display device with increased performance.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,116

Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE June 9, 2005